

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,878	10/603,878 06/24/2003		David Jon Hiner	W2K2070	3008	
23504	7590	05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
WEISS & MOY PC				ARBES, CARL J		
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729			
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				&					
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/603,878	3	HINER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		C. J. Arbes		3729					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to receive by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu d will apply and will ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	March 2005.							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 16-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16,17,20,21,27,28,31 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 18,19,22-26,29,30,32,33 and 35 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		LAGITITICI: 140	e the attached emoc	7,000,01,01,01,01,00					
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen	at(s)		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>herein</u> .	98)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/603,878

Art Unit: 3729

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 17, 20, 21, 27, 28, 31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess, Pat No. 4,642,160; hereinafter Burgess. Burgess teaches a method of making a multilayered circuit board from a core layer (2) having a circuit pattern (12) (which overlying the core layer) and a dielectric material (16) overlying the conductor layer or circuit pattern and forming another conductive layer (18) over the dielectric layer. Material of the continuous outer layer is removed by means of laser drilling at a predetermined location. Dielectric which is exposed is also removed at the same time so as to form an opening to expose .the circuit pattern. Conductive material is deposited into the opening whereby the circuit pattern is electrically connected to the conductor layer. The portion of the circuit layer whereat the conductive material connects the circuit pattern is construed to be attachment terminals. If Burgess in fact is deficient in teaching attachment terminals which it is believed this teaching is nary deficient then it would be appropriate to hold that the attachment terminals are an equivalent of what the Patent Office indicated they were hereinabove. Alternatively it held to have been obvious to provide attachment terminals on the circuit pattern in order to allow the IC substrate operate or function. As applied to claim 20 it is the conformal coating is construed to be at 20 (Cf. Fig 6 and related disclosure). As applied to claim 21 the circuit pattern is construed as 18 (CF e.g. Figs. 4-6) and the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,878

Art Unit: 3729

conformal coating as 20 (Cf Fg 6) before the laser drilling step. Moreover Burgess also teaches electrolessly plating the substrate (Cf. e.g. 38 in Fig. 8). As further applied to claim 27 Burgess teaches attaching a metal layer to a board by means of an adhesive (Cf.bottom of Col 8). As applied to claim 28 the photoresist (a dielectric) is laminated onto the metal 18 (Cf Fig. 6).

Claims 16, 17 and 31 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deroux-Dauphin et al (Pat No. 4,964,212); hereinafter Deroux—Dauphin et al. Deroux-Dauphin et al teach a method for producing electrical connections through a substrate or dielectric layer wherein a conductive circuit pattern is formed (Cf. Fig 7 and related disclosure) and laser drilling perforations from one side of the dielectric layer through to the conductive circuit pattern. The laser drills from a second side so as to expose a bottom side of the conductive circuit pattern (Cf Fig 7 and related disclosure). If Deroux-Dauphin et al , in fact, are deficient in teaching attachment terminals which it is believed this teaching is nary deficient in teaching, then it would be appropriate to hold that the attachment terminals are an equivalent of what the Patent Office indicated they were hereinabove i.e. near the leader line end of 36.

Claims 16, 17, 31 and 34 are yet further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al, Pat. No. 5,108,553; hereinafter Foster et al. Foster et al teach a process for making a circuit having multiple conductive planes by means of a photoetching technique and providing a conductive via which via is made by means of a laser drilling step. If Foster et al, in fact, are deficient in teaching attachment terminals which it is believed this teaching is nary deficient in teaching, then

Application/Control Number: 10/603,878

Art Unit: 3729

it would be appropriate to hold that the attachment terminals are an equivalent of what the Patent Office indicated they were herinabove i.e. near or at 12 or 12'.

Claims 18, 19, 22-26, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C∬J. Arbes Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729